

Do Black Holes Exist?
J. W. Moffat
Department of Physics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7
Canada

February, 1993

UTPT-93-04

e-mail: Moffat@medb.physics.utoronto.ca

Do Black Holes Exist?
J. W. Moffat
Department of Physics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7
Canada

Abstract

The problem of information loss in black hole formation and the associated violations of basic laws of physics, such as conservation of energy, causality and unitarity, are avoided in the nonsymmetric gravitational theory, if the NGT charge of a black hole and its mass satisfy an inequality that does not violate any known experimental data and allows the existence of white dwarfs and neutron stars.

The occurrence of a naked singularity in classical general relativity (GR) would lead to a breakdown of predictability of the theory at the singularity, and a general breakdown of the classical laws of physics. There are indications that GR admits naked singularity solutions¹, causing an unease about the possibility of a breakdown of the cosmic censorship hypothesis².

Hawking's discovery of black hole radiance,³ led him to state that the accepted principles of relativity and quantum mechanics cannot be reconciled with this phenomenon⁴. All suggested resolutions of the paradox result in violations of cherished physical laws, such as the conservation of energy, causality and unitarity⁵. Consider a pure quantum state describing the infalling matter of a star. Such a state can be described by a density matrix, $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ with vanishing entropy, $S = -\text{Tr}\rho\ln\rho$. If, for sufficiently large M , the falling matter collapses to form a black hole, it will begin emitting Hawking radiation. If the back-reaction of the emitted radiation on the geometry is neglected, an approximation expected to hold until the mass M becomes comparable to the Planck mass M_{Pl} , then the radiation is thermal and is described by a mixed quantum state. The radiation is approximately described by a thermal density matrix with a nonzero entropy, $S \sim M^2/M_{Pl}^2$. The entropy is interpreted as an absence of information, and because it is nonvanishing, the information contained in the initial quantum state that fell into the black hole does not subsequently escape in the form of Hawking radiation. Information has been lost and unitarity has been violated. If the black hole evaporates completely, then an initially pure quantum state that was precisely known becomes a mixed state, and we can only assign probabilities to what the final state will be. Thus, information is destroyed in principle.

The problem begins with the formation of an arbitrarily large, classical black hole, which emits Hawking radiation. We cannot expect to invoke arguments based on some, as yet, unknown quantum gravity theory to cure the problem, since such a theory is only operative at the Planck length, $L_{Pl} \sim 10^{-33}$ cm. This would suggest that we must seek an alternative to Einstein's gravitational theory i.e. we must modify the short distance behavior of gravity and prevent the formation of black holes. If black holes cannot form, then there is no Hawking radiation or information loss and the paradox has been removed. In order to prevent singularities and black holes from existing, we must make gravity repulsive in certain situations. The simplest modification of Einstein's theory is the Brans-Dicke scalar-tensor theory⁶. However, in this theory gravity is always attractive, so that the theory predicts singularities and black holes as in GR.

The nonsymmetric gravitational theory (NGT) is a mathematically and physically consistent theory of gravitation, which contains GR in a well-defined limit⁷. In this theory, the $g_{\mu\nu}$ decomposes according to the rule:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{(\mu\nu)} + g_{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (1)$$

where $g_{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu} + g_{\nu\mu})$ and $g_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\mu})$. The affine connection $W_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ decomposes according to

$$W_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = W_{(\mu\nu)}^\lambda + W_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda. \quad (2)$$

The NGT contracted curvature tensor in terms of the W -connection is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu}(W) = W_{\mu\nu,\beta}^\beta - \frac{1}{2}(W_{\mu\beta,\nu}^\beta + W_{\nu\beta,\mu}^\beta) - W_{\alpha\nu}^\beta W_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + W_{\alpha\beta}^\beta W_{\mu\nu}^\alpha. \quad (3)$$

The field equations are

$$G_{\mu\nu}(W) = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{g}^{[\mu\nu]}_{,\nu} = 4\pi \mathbf{S}^\mu, \quad (5)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$.

The energy-momentum tensor for a perfect fluid in NGT is of the form⁸:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^\mu u^\nu - pg^{\mu\nu}, \quad (6)$$

where ρ and p are the density and pressure and $u^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$. We interpret S^μ as the conserved particle number of the fluid:

$$S^\mu = \sum_i f_i^2 n_i u^\mu, \quad (7)$$

where f_i^2 are the coupling constants associated with stable fermion particles i , and n_i denotes the particle density. The net NGT charge associated with a body is defined by

$$\ell^2 = \int \mathbf{S}^0 d^3x \quad (8)$$

and because of the identity:

$$\mathbf{S}^\nu_{,\nu} = 0, \quad (9)$$

the ℓ^2 of a body is a conserved quantity. The matter response equations are

$$\frac{1}{2}(g_{\sigma\rho}\mathbf{T}^{\sigma\alpha} + g_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{T}^{\alpha\sigma})_{,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta,\rho}\mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3}W_{[\rho,\nu]}\mathbf{S}^\nu = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $W_\mu = W_{[\mu\alpha]}^\alpha$.

A cooling star of mass greater than a few solar masses cannot reach equilibrium as either a white dwarf or a neutron star in GR, and a similar situation prevails in NGT⁹. Savaria has found solutions to the time dependent, spherically symmetric collapse equations in NGT¹⁰. He proved that, if the final state of the collapsing matter is described by an equation of state for which the repulsive NGT force dominates, then it is possible to produce a bounce mechanism which can prevent the formation of a black hole, without violating the Hawking-Penrose¹¹ positivity condition: $T_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu > 0$.

In NGT, there are no negative energy contributions, even in the presence of repulsive forces. This is an important aspect of the theory, which makes it a viable modification of

GR. The flux of gravitational waves at infinity is the same as in GR, i.e. it is finite and positive definite¹². For the case of a time dependent, spherically symmetric spacetime, the line element in polar coordinates is given by

$$ds^2 = \gamma(r, t)dt^2 - \alpha(r, t)dr^2 - R^2(r, t)d\Omega^2, \quad (11)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. We choose $w(r, t) = g_{[10]} \neq 0$ and $f(r, t) = g_{[23]} = 0$ in order to satisfy the physical boundary condition of asymptotic flatness at infinity.

From (10), we get for a perfect fluid the conservation law:

$$\dot{\rho} = -(\rho + p)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln(\gamma^{-1/2}\sqrt{-g}), \quad (12)$$

and

$$p' = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + p)\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{6\pi}\frac{s}{wR}W_{[0,1]}, \quad (13)$$

where $\dot{\rho} = \partial\rho/\partial t$ and $p' = \partial p/\partial r$. Moreover,

$$s(r, t) = \frac{2\pi R w S^0}{R'} = \frac{d\ell^4}{dR^4}. \quad (14)$$

For the spherically symmetric collapse of a pressureless fluid, the solutions for $\alpha(r, t)$ and $w(r, t)$ are given by

$$\alpha(r, t) = \frac{[R'(1 + \ell^4/R^4)]^2}{(1 - \frac{2GM}{r})(1 + \frac{\ell^4}{r^4})}, \quad (15)$$

$$w(r, t) = \frac{\ell^2}{R^2}R' \left[\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\ell^4}{r^4}\right) \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (16)$$

The collapse cannot be isotropic unless $\ell^2 = 0$ (the GR limit). It can be shown that for a pressureless fluid, $dM/ds = 0$, so that $M = M(r)$ is a constant of the motion as in GR. We identify $M(r)$ as the mass contained within a sphere of radius r . Moreover, due to (9), $\ell^2 = \ell^2(r)$ is also a constant of the motion and will be identified as the NGT charge contained within the sphere. Another constant of the motion is given by

$$E(r) = \left[\left(1 + U^2 - \frac{2GM}{R}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\ell^4}{R^4}\right) \right]^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{M\ell^2\ell_t^2}{m_t R^4}, \quad (17)$$

where $U = dR/ds$ and ℓ_t^2 and m_t are the ℓ^2 charge and mass of a test particle.

We are interested in the case when the repulsive NGT forces are at a maximum, which corresponds to neglecting the tensor force contribution in (10) and (17). We assume that the fluid is at rest at $t = 0$:

$$R(r, 0) = r, \quad \dot{R}(r, 0) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Then, (17) yields

$$E(r) = \left[\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\ell^4}{r^4} \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (19)$$

Let us further assume that the gravitational field is weak, so that

$$\frac{2GM}{r} \ll 1, \quad \frac{\ell^4}{r^4} \ll 1. \quad (20)$$

Solving the equation:

$$\dot{R}(r, t) = 0, \quad (21)$$

we find that the collapsing star with initial density $\rho(r, 0)$ and zero pressure will not form an event horizon and a black hole when:

$$\ell \geq \left(\frac{8}{3^{3/4}} \right) GM. \quad (22)$$

When $M = M_\odot$, the collapse is halted before the event horizon is reached for $\ell \geq 5.2$ km. This result agrees with the simplified case of the radial collapse of a shell of test particles in the exterior static, spherically symmetric NGT metric⁷.

It is not expected that the basic mechanism preventing black hole formation in stellar collapse will be significantly modified when the approximations made above are relaxed, but further work must be carried out to investigate this issue.

For a Wheeler GEON (gravitational electromagnetic entity)¹³, it was shown by Wheeler¹⁴, and Brill and Hartle¹⁵, that such objects are unstable i.e. they will either disperse or collapse. Although it is unlikely that such objects exist, we must consider their behaviour in NGT. Because the photon number density n_γ is not conserved, we have $\ell_\gamma^2 = 0$ and $g_{[\mu\nu]} = 0$, and NGT reduces to GR for photon GEONS. Therefore, if photon GEONS exist, we cannot prevent their collapse to a black hole with the resultant loss of information due to Hawking radiation. On the other hand, for neutrino GEONS¹⁶, NGT will prevent their collapse to a black hole, because the NGT charge for neutrinos, $\ell_\nu^2 \neq 0$, thereby guaranteeing that these objects can be prevented from collapsing to black holes. However, as with photon GEONS, we consider that such systems are highly unlikely to exist as astrophysical objects.

We shall postulate as a general principle in Nature, that since the initial big bang at $t=0$, matter always dominated over anti-matter. Thus, matter genesis was initiated at $t=0$ by means of a violation of particle number conservation and an associated CP violation. In NGT, this breaks the principle of equivalence, since the excess of matter coupled to the NGT field $g_{[\mu\nu]}$, violates the equivalence principle. We also postulate that at the end-point of gravitational collapse, the repulsive NGT force dominates, so as to prevent the formation of black holes of arbitrarily large or small mass. A consequence of this is that no naked, local singularities of the type generated by gravitational collapse can ever be observed in Nature, nor can event horizons be produced together with Hawking radiation.

In NGT there will be no absolute loss of information, as occurs in GR, and the laws of quantum mechanics and thermodynamics will not be violated.

The values of the NGT charge necessary to prevent the formation of black holes are not expected to contradict any experiments in the solar system⁷ (this is certainly true for pressureless collapse), nor will they prevent the formation of white dwarfs or neutron stars⁹. It will be interesting to investigate the astrophysical consequences of the objects that form when stellar collapse is halted in NGT, and to ascertain whether stable systems can be formed with possibly unique observational signatures that could distinguish them from black holes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. I thank M. Clayton, N. Cornish, P. Savaria and D. Tatarski for helpful and stimulating discussions.

References

1. For some references on this subject, see: D. Eardly and L. Smarr, Phys. Rev. D**19**, 2239 (1979); D. Christodoulou, Commun. Math. Phys. **93**, 171 (1984); R. P. A. C. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav. **3**, 527 (1986); A. Ori and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. D**42**, 1068 (1990); I. H. Dwivedi and P. S. Joshi, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 2167 (1991); Phys. Rev. D**45**, 2147 (1992); P. S. Joshi and I. H. Dwivedi, Gen. Rel. and Grav. **24**, 129 (1992); Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 333 (1992); Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, L69 (1992); K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D**43**, 1416 (1991); Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3129 (1992); S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 994 (1991); Phys. Rev. D**45**, 2006 (1992).
2. R. Penrose, Nuovo Cimento **1**, 252 (1969).
3. S. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975); Phys. Rev. D**13**, 191 (1976); J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D**7**, 2333 (1973); Phys. Rev. D**9**, 3292 (1974).
4. S. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D**14**, 2460 (1976).
5. For reviews, see: T. Banks, M. E. Peskin, and L. Susskind, Nucl. Phys. **B244** 125 (1984); J. Preskill, "Do Black Holes Destroy Information?" California Institute of Technology preprint CALT-68-1819 (1992); S. B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. D**46**, 1347 (1992); "Toy Models for Black Hole Evaporation" UCSBTH-92-36 hep-th/9209113 (1992); J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, "Quantum Aspects of Black Holes", preprint EFI-92-41 hep-th/9209055 (1992).
6. C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).
7. J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. D**35**, 3733 (1987); For a review, see: *Gravitation 1990*:

- A Banff Summer Institute, ed. R. B. Mann and P. Wesson (Singapore: World Scientific) p. 523 1991.*
8. D. Vincent, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2**, 409 (1985).
 9. P. Savaria, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, 1003 (1985); L. Campbell, J. W. Moffat, and P. Savaria, *Ap. J.* **372**, 241 (1991).
 10. P. Savaria, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 1349 (1992).
 11. R. Penrose, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57 (1965); S. Hawking, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A300**, 187 (1967).
 12. It has recently been claimed that NGT suffers from radiation flux instability and bad asymptotic behaviour: T. Damour, S. Deser, and J. McCarthy, *Phys Rev. D* **45**, R3289, (1992), preprint BRXTH-324, IHES/P/92/36, ADP-92-180/M5 (1992). These results have been shown to be incorrect: N. Cornish, J. W. Moffat, and D. Tatarski, *Phys. Lett A* **173**, 109 (1993); “Gravitational Waves from an Axi-Symmetric Source in the Nonsymmetric Gravitational Theory”, preprint 1993 (submitted to *Phys. Rev. D*); N. Cornish and J. W. Moffat, *Phys. Rev. D* (to be published).
 13. J. A. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev.* **97**, 511 (1955); *Geometrodynamics*, Academic Press Inc. New York, 1962.
 14. J. A. Wheeler, *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, ed. C. and B. DeWitt (New York: Gordon and Breach 1964); B. K. Harrison, K. S. Thorne, M. Wakano, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation Theory and Gravitational Collapse*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1965.
 15. D. R. Brill and J. B. Hartle, *Phys. Rev.* **135**, B271 (1964).
 16. D. R. Brill and J. A. Wheeler, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29**, 465 (1957).